

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,900	03/17/2004	Thomas Rueckes	112020.167 US1 (NAN-4 4623 CIP EXAMINER	
23483	7590 10/19/2005			
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP			WILSON, CHRISTIAN D	
	60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001011,			2891	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>XX</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,900	RUECKES ET AL	<b>.</b>
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christian Wilson	2891	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
· ·	•		
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are:</li> </ul>		o by the Examine	r
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08272004, 02252005, ひょくしょう	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	atent Application (PTC	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/802,900

Art Unit: 2891

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,911,682 in view of Popovic *et al.* Claims 1-45 disclose the limitations of claims 1-21 except for an insulative layer on the surface facing the nanotube ribbons [claims 1, 5, and 17] and applying electical stimulus to move the nanotube ribbon away from the conductive elements [claim 20]. Popovic *et* 

Application/Control Number: 10/802,900 Page 3

Art Unit: 2891

al. (US 4,979,149) teaches an insulative layer opposite the movable component [column 3, lines 50-55] and a method of moving the movable component away from the conductive elements [Figure 1]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the insulative layer since this provides a reduction in the number of manufacturing steps for providing a memory element and the moving away from the conductive elements provides a binary memory element [column 2, lines 20-25].

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-21 are allowable pending a properly filed terminal disclaimer.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: applicant discloses a circuit, circuit array, and method of using a circuit cell where a nanotube ribbon disposed between first and second electrically conductive elements where the ribbon is movable toward one of the electrically conductive elements in response to an electrical stimulus.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

5. A copy of the search history is enclosed.

Art Unit: 2891

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, 7:30 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Christian Wilson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2891

CDW